OPEN ACCESS
Research Psychologie, Orientation et Conseil
Vol. 2 No. 3. June 2025, pp. 107-120 DOI. 10.70177/rpoc.vxix.xxx

Research Article

Cognitive Development in the Digital Age: A Cross-Cultural Perspective

Wijaya[1], Rashid Rahman[2], Aiman Fariq[3]
[1] Universitas Islam Negeri Raden Fatah Palembang, Indonesia
[2] Universiti Putra, Malaysia
[3] UCSI University, Malaysia

Corresponding Author:

Wijaya,
Universitas Islam Negeri Raden Fatah Palembang, Indonesia
Jl. Prof. K. H. Zainal Abidin Fikri No.Km.3, RW.05, Pahlawan, Kec. Kemuning, Kota Palembang, Sumatera Selatan 30126
Email: wijaya_uin@radenfatah.ac.id

Article Info

Received: June 2, 2025
Revised: June 5, 2025
Accepted: June 8, 2025
Online Version: June 8, 2025

Abstract

The pervasive integration of digital technologies into adolescents' lives has fundamentally altered their developmental environments. While the cognitive impact of this "digital age" is widely debated, research often overlooks how these effects are moderated by cultural context, which shapes how technology is adopted and utilized. This study aimed to conduct a cross-cultural comparison of the relationship between specific patterns of digital technology engagement and key cognitive functions, including attention, working memory, and problem-solving skills, among adolescents. A cross-sectional study was conducted with 400 adolescents (aged 14-16) from the United States (n=200) and South Korea (n=200). Participants completed standardized cognitive tests and a Digital Usage Questionnaire. Multiple regression analyses were used to examine the interaction between culture and technology use on cognitive performance. A significant interaction effect emerged. In the U.S. sample, higher social media use correlated with weaker sustained attention. In the South Korean sample, high engagement in collaborative online gaming was positively associated with enhanced problem-solving skills and working memory. The cognitive correlates of technology use differed significantly across cultures. The cognitive impact of the digital age is not universal but is profoundly shaped by cultural values that guide technology engagement.

Keywords: Cognitive Development, Digital Age, Educational Technology

Journal Homepage https://journal.ypidathu.or.id/index.php/rpoc ISSN: (P: 3048-0078) - (E: 3048-1937)
How to cite: Wijaya, Wijaya., Rahman, R & Fariq, A. (2025). Cognitive Development in the Digital Age: A Cross-Cultural Perspective. *Research Psychologie, Orientation et Conseil, 2*(3), 107–120. https://doi.org/10.70177/rpoc.v2i3.2374
Published by: Yayasan Pendidikan Islam Daarut Thufulah

INTRODUCTION

Contains background, rationale, and or research urgency. References (relevant literature or The defining characteristic of modern adolescence is the near-total immersion in a digitally saturated environment (Putri & Sansuwito, 2025; Wan Mokhtar et al., 2025). The current generation of young people is the first to have grown up with the internet, smartphones, and social media as integral, ever-present components of their daily lives, fundamentally altering the landscape of their social, emotional, and cognitive development. This "digital age" has introduced a complex and powerful set of environmental inputs that interact with the adolescent brain during a period of critical neurodevelopmental plasticity. The constant stream of information, the nature of online social interactions, and the structure of digital media are shaping neural pathways and cognitive habits in ways that are unprecedented in human history.

A vigorous and often polarized debate has emerged within the scientific and public spheres regarding the net cognitive impact of this digital immersion. Some perspectives raise significant concerns, suggesting that the fast-paced, multitasking nature of digital engagement may lead to a decline in crucial cognitive functions such as sustained attention, deep analytical thinking, and reflective processing (Abdullah et al., 2025; Ernawati et al., 2025). This viewpoint often highlights the potential for distraction, information overload, and the displacement of activities known to foster cognitive growth, such as reading long-form texts or engaging in face-to-face social interaction. The opposing perspective posits that digital engagement may be fostering a new and valuable set of cognitive skills, including enhanced visual-spatial abilities, faster processing speeds, and more adept problem-solving within complex, rule-based systems, such as those found in sophisticated video games.

A critical dimension frequently overlooked in this debate is the profound influence of cultural context. The impact of technology is not monolithic; it is deeply mediated by the cultural values, norms, and practices that shape how technology is adopted, utilized, and integrated into social life (Abdullah et al., 2025; Ernawati et al., 2025). Cultural frameworks dictate which technologies are prioritized, the social purposes they serve, and the implicit rules governing their use. For instance, a culture that values collective achievement may foster different patterns of online gaming than one that emphasizes individual competition. A comprehensive global understanding of the digital age's impact on youth cognitive development is therefore impossible without a rigorous cross-cultural perspective.

The central problem this research addresses is the prevailing tendency within cognitive science and psychology to generalize findings about the effects of digital technology across vastly different cultural settings (Bhurawala, 2025; Sulistyowati & Adira, 2025). Much of the existing research has been conducted with Western, educated, industrialized, rich, and democratic (WEIRD) populations, yet the conclusions are often presented as universal truths about the "adolescent brain." This approach fails to account for the significant role of culture in shaping the human-technology interaction, leading to an incomplete and potentially misleading understanding of cognitive development in the digital age.

The specific issue is the lack of direct, comparative research that examines how the relationship between specific patterns of digital engagement and cognitive functions differs across distinct cultural contexts (Abubakari, 2025; Alismail et al., 2025). It is insufficient to know that "screen time" has a certain effect; it is necessary to understand if the cognitive correlates of spending three hours on a collaborative online game in South Korea are the same as spending three hours on individual-centric social media platforms in the United States. The

problem is the absence of a nuanced, cross-cultural analysis that can differentiate the cognitive impacts of *how* technology is used, a pattern that is itself culturally molded.

This lack of a cross-cultural perspective creates a significant problem for the development of effective educational strategies and public health guidelines on a global scale. Policies and recommendations based on research from a single cultural context may be ineffective or even counterproductive when applied elsewhere. For example, discouraging online gaming based on findings from one culture could inadvertently inhibit the development of valuable problem-solving skills in another culture where gaming is a primary mode of collaborative social learning (Alam et al., 2025; Alismail et al., 2025). The problem is the risk of creating culturally-myopic policies that fail to optimize developmental outcomes for adolescents worldwide.

The primary objective of this study is to conduct a rigorous cross-cultural comparison of the relationship between specific patterns of digital technology use and key cognitive functions in adolescents (Azman et al., 2025; Darabi et al., 2025). This research aims to move beyond generalized measures of "screen time" and investigate how distinct digital activities—specifically social media engagement and collaborative online gaming—are associated with performance on standardized tests of sustained attention, working memory, and complex problem-solving. The central goal is to determine if these associations are universal or if they are significantly moderated by the cultural context of the United States and South Korea.

A second, crucial objective is to identify and characterize any culture-specific "cognitive profiles" that may be emerging in relation to technology use. The study seeks to explore whether the emphasis on individualism in the U.S. and collectivism in South Korea fosters different modes of digital engagement that, in turn, cultivate different sets of cognitive strengths and weaknesses (Abubakari, 2025; Muzayanah et al., 2025). For example, the research will examine if the highly social and strategic nature of gaming in South Korea is linked to enhanced problem-solving skills, while the individual-broadcasting nature of social media in the U.S. has a different relationship with attentional control.

Ultimately, this study aims to synthesize these comparative findings to construct a more nuanced and culturally-sensitive model of cognitive development in the digital age. The research endeavors to provide a data-driven answer to the fundamental question (Pusparini et al., 2025; Sellami et al., 2025): Does the cognitive impact of digital technology depend on the cultural framework within which it is used? The expected outcome is a set of specific, evidence-based insights that can challenge the assumption of universality and highlight the critical importance of considering cultural values when evaluating the relationship between technology and the adolescent mind.

The scholarly literature on technology's impact on cognition is substantial, yet a clear gap exists in the form of direct, comparative cross-cultural research. While many studies have been conducted within individual countries, there is a marked scarcity of research that employs an identical methodology and validated instruments to simultaneously investigate adolescent populations in distinct and culturally divergent nations like the United States and South Korea. The literature lacks the head-to-head comparative data needed to empirically test the hypothesis that culture moderates the cognitive effects of technology.

A second gap, which is both methodological and conceptual, is the tendency for research to treat "technology use" as a monolithic variable. Many studies rely on broad measures like total daily screen time, which fails to differentiate between cognitively distinct activities. The

cognitive demands of passively scrolling through social media are vastly different from those of engaging in a complex, real-time strategy game (Rad et al., 2025; Sulistiani et al., 2025). The literature needs more studies that disaggregate technology use into specific, behaviorally-defined categories and analyze their unique cognitive correlates.

A third gap exists in the application of cultural psychology frameworks to the study of digital technologies. While cultural psychology has a long history of examining differences in cognition (e.g., holistic vs. analytic thinking), this theoretical lens has not been sufficiently applied to understanding how these baseline cultural differences in cognition interact with the inputs from digital technology. The field lacks a body of research that explicitly investigates the interaction effect between pre-existing cultural cognitive styles and the new cognitive habits being shaped by digital media. This study is designed to fill these specific gaps by providing a direct, comparative, and behaviorally-specific cross-cultural analysis.

The principal novelty of this research lies in its direct, comparative cross-cultural design, which contrasts two highly digitized but culturally distinct adolescent populations: the United States and South Korea. This head-to-head comparison, using a consistent methodology, is innovative and allows for a robust examination of the interaction between culture and technology (Memon et al., 2025; Restuti et al., 2025). The study's further novelty is its focus on specific, culturally-salient digital behaviors—individualistic social media and collectivistic online gaming—rather than relying on crude measures of screen time, providing a more nuanced and meaningful analysis.

This research is justified by the increasingly globalized nature of both digital technology and education. As young people around the world engage with the same digital platforms, it is essential to understand that the outcomes of this engagement may not be the same. This study is critical because it will provide the empirical evidence needed to move beyond a "one-size-fits-all" understanding of the digital age (Salihu et al., 2025; Siregar et al., 2025). The findings are essential for informing the development of culturally-aware digital citizenship curricula and international educational policies.

The ultimate justification for this work rests on its potential to promote a more equitable and effective approach to supporting adolescent development worldwide. By highlighting how cultural context shapes the cognitive consequences of technology use, this research can help educators and parents in different countries make more informed decisions. It is important because it challenges the dominance of Western-centric research and advocates for a more inclusive, culturally-sensitive science of learning and development, ensuring that our understanding of the "digital native" is a global one.

RESEARCH METHOD

Research Design

This study employed a comparative, cross-sectional research design to investigate the relationships between digital technology use and cognitive functions across two distinct cultural contexts (Mariyono & Ghony, 2025; Salihu et al., 2025). This design was selected for its effectiveness in simultaneously examining and comparing multiple variables within different population groups at a single point in time. The independent variables were the cultural group (United States vs. South Korea) and the self-reported patterns of digital engagement (specifically, time spent on social media and collaborative online gaming). The

primary dependent variables were performance scores on standardized tests measuring sustained attention, working memory, and complex problem-solving skills.

Population and Sample

The study's population consisted of adolescents aged 14-16. A purposive sampling strategy was used to recruit participants from two large, urban public high schools, one in a major metropolitan area in the United States and one in Seoul, South Korea. The schools were selected based on their comparable socioeconomic demographics and levels of technology integration to minimize confounding environmental variables. The final sample comprised 400 participants, with 200 students from the United States (105 female, 95 male) and 200 students from South Korea (102 female, 98 male). All participants provided informed assent, and parental consent was obtained prior to data collection.

Instruments

Three primary instruments were utilized for data collection, all of which were translated and back-translated to ensure linguistic and cultural equivalence (Mariyono & Ghony, 2025; Nazir et al., 2025). Cognitive functions were assessed using a battery of validated, computer-based tests: the Continuous Performance Test (CPT) to measure sustained attention, the Corsi Block-Tapping Test to assess visuospatial working memory, and Raven's Advanced Progressive Matrices (APM) to evaluate fluid intelligence and complex problem-solving. The primary independent variable was measured using the "Digital Usage Questionnaire" (DUQ), a self-report instrument developed for this study to quantify the average daily hours spent on specific digital activities, including social media platforms and collaborative online games.

Procedures

The study was conducted after receiving ethical approval from the respective institutional review boards in both countries. Data collection took place in quiet, designated computer labs within the participating schools to ensure a standardized testing environment. Participants first completed the Digital Usage Questionnaire. Following the questionnaire, they undertook the battery of three cognitive tests in a counterbalanced order to control for potential order effects. The entire procedure for each participant lasted approximately 75 minutes. All data were collected electronically and anonymized. Multiple regression analyses were planned to examine the main effects and the interaction effect of culture and digital technology use on each of the cognitive performance measures.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The initial quantitative analysis focused on the self-reported data from the Digital Usage Questionnaire (DUQ) and the baseline performance on the battery of cognitive tests. The data revealed distinct and significant differences in the patterns of digital engagement between the adolescent samples from the United States and South Korea. U.S. adolescents reported spending a substantially greater amount of time on social media platforms, while South Korean adolescents reported significantly more time engaged in collaborative online gaming.

A summary of these descriptive statistics is presented in Table 1. The table outlines the mean daily hours spent on each digital activity and the mean raw scores for each cognitive test, stratified by cultural group. The data provide a clear baseline for understanding the different "digital diets" and cognitive profiles of the two cohorts before examining the relationships between these variables.

Table 1: Descriptive Statistics for Digital Usage and Cognitive Performance by Cultural Group

Variable	United States (n=200)	South Korea (n=200)
	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)
Digital Usage (Hours/Day)		
Social Media Use	3.8 (1.2)	1.5 (0.8)
Collaborative Online Gaming	1.2 (0.9)	3.5 (1.1)
Cognitive Performance (Raw Score)		
Sustained Attention (CPT Errors)	22.5 (5.1)	18.2 (4.5)
Working Memory (Corsi Span)	6.1 (1.1)	6.8 (1.0)
Problem-Solving (APM Score)	28.4 (4.8)	31.5 (4.2)

The descriptive data highlight a stark contrast in the digital habits of the two groups. The U.S. sample reported dedicating, on average, more than three times as many hours to social media use per day compared to the South Korean sample. Conversely, the South Korean adolescents reported spending nearly three times as many hours per day engaged in collaborative online gaming. This clear divergence confirms that the culturally-salient digital activities chosen for this study are indeed dominant within their respective populations.

The baseline cognitive scores also revealed interesting differences. The South Korean sample demonstrated significantly better performance on all three cognitive measures: they made fewer errors on the sustained attention task and achieved higher scores on both the working memory and problem-solving tests. While these baseline differences are notable, the primary focus of the subsequent analyses is on the relationships between digital usage patterns and these cognitive scores *within* each cultural group, and the interaction between culture and usage.

Multiple regression analyses were conducted separately for each cultural group to examine the association between the two types of digital engagement (social media use, gaming) and the three cognitive outcomes (attention, working memory, problem-solving), while controlling for gender. In the United States sample, a significant negative correlation was found between hours of social media use and performance on the sustained attention task ($\beta = -0.35$, $p < .001$). No other significant associations were found for the U.S. group.

The analysis for the South Korean sample revealed a different pattern of results. A significant positive correlation was found between hours of collaborative online gaming and performance on the problem-solving test ($\beta = 0.28$, $p < .01$). A similar positive association was found between gaming and working memory scores ($\beta = 0.25$, $p < .01$). No significant relationship was found between social media use and any cognitive measure for the South Korean group.

The most critical inferential finding emerged from the combined analysis, which revealed a significant culture-by-activity interaction effect on cognitive performance. Specifically, there was a significant interaction between cultural group and hours of gaming on problem-solving scores ($F(1, 396) = 8.92$, $p < .01$). This interaction indicates that the relationship between gaming and problem-solving is not the same in the two cultures; it is strongly positive for South Korean adolescents but non-significant for U.S. adolescents.

A similar interaction effect was found between cultural group and social media use on sustained attention ($F(1, 396) = 10.14$, $p < .005$). This indicates that the negative relationship between social media use and attention was significantly stronger in the U.S. sample compared to the South Korean sample, where the relationship was negligible. These interactions provide

strong statistical evidence that the cognitive correlates of digital engagement are culturally moderated.

A clear and logical relationship exists between the initial descriptive data on digital habits and the results of the regression analyses. The dominant digital activity within each culture was the same activity that showed the strongest correlation with cognitive performance for that group. In the U.S., where social media use was highest, its association with reduced sustained attention was the most prominent finding. This suggests a link between the specific cognitive demands of the preferred platform and the observed cognitive profile.

This pattern was mirrored in the South Korean sample. In this group, where collaborative online gaming was the dominant digital activity, its strong positive association with problem-solving and working memory was the key finding. The data converge to suggest that it is not "screen time" in general, but the specific nature of the culturally-preferred digital engagement that is most strongly associated with a particular set of cognitive outcomes.

To illustrate these divergent profiles, the case of "Emily," a 15-year-old from the U.S. sample, is presented. Emily reported spending over five hours per day on social media platforms. Her cognitive test results were characteristic of the U.S. group's statistical trend: her score on the Continuous Performance Test for sustained attention was in the bottom quartile of the sample, while her performance on the working memory and problem-solving tests was average.

In contrast, "Joon-ho," a 15-year-old from the South Korean sample, reported spending over four hours per day playing a popular collaborative online strategy game with his friends. His cognitive profile exemplified the trend in his cohort: his scores on Raven's Advanced Progressive Matrices for problem-solving and the Corsi Block-Tapping Test for working memory were both in the top quartile of the sample, while his sustained attention score was average.

Emily's case provides a concrete individual example of the aggregate statistical findings for the U.S. sample. Her high social media usage, characterized by rapid context-switching and passive content consumption, is a plausible real-world analogue for the cognitive habits that could lead to a weaker performance on a task requiring prolonged, focused attention. Her profile gives a face to the negative correlation identified in the regression analysis.

Joon-ho's case vividly illustrates the findings for the South Korean group. The cognitive demands of his preferred activity—requiring him to constantly update his working memory with his teammates' positions, track multiple objectives, and devise complex strategies in real-time—are a direct reflection of the skills measured by the problem-solving and working memory tests. His strong performance provides a clear, individual-level explanation for the positive association found between collaborative gaming and these specific cognitive functions in the South Korean sample.

The collective findings of this study provide strong, comparative evidence that the relationship between digital technology use and adolescent cognitive development is not universal. The results clearly demonstrate that the cognitive correlates of digital engagement are significantly moderated by cultural context. The dominant digital activities prevalent in each culture—social media in the U.S. and collaborative gaming in South Korea—are associated with distinct and different cognitive profiles.

This research interprets these findings as a direct challenge to the notion of a single, monolithic "digital age brain." The data suggest instead that multiple, culturally-inflected

cognitive pathways are emerging. The way adolescents in a particular culture engage with technology, a pattern shaped by underlying social values, appears to be selectively strengthening certain cognitive skills while potentially neglecting others. This highlights the critical importance of adopting a culturally-sensitive perspective when studying or making policy about youth technology use.

The results of this cross-cultural study provide a clear and empirically supported narrative of divergence in the cognitive correlates of digital technology use among adolescents. The quantitative data revealed a significant culture-by-activity interaction effect, which stands as the central finding of this research. This interaction demonstrates that the relationship between specific digital activities and cognitive functions is not universal but is powerfully moderated by the cultural context in which those activities take place.

Specifically, for the United States sample, a significant negative correlation was identified between the hours spent on social media and performance on a task of sustained attention. This finding suggests that a higher engagement with the rapid, context-switching environment of social media is associated with weaker attentional control in this cultural group. No other digital activity showed a significant relationship with the measured cognitive functions for the U.S. adolescents.

In stark contrast, the analysis for the South Korean sample revealed a completely different pattern. For these adolescents, a significant positive correlation was found between the hours spent in collaborative online gaming and their performance on tests of both complex problem-solving and working memory. This finding suggests that intensive engagement in the strategic, information-rich environment of these games is associated with enhanced abilities in these specific cognitive domains. Social media use had no significant cognitive correlates for the South Korean group.

The case studies of “Emily” from the U.S. and “Joon-ho” from South Korea serve as powerful individual exemplars of these aggregate statistical trends. Emily’s profile of high social media use and lower sustained attention, alongside Joon-ho’s profile of high collaborative game use and superior problem-solving skills, provide concrete, narrative illustrations of the distinct “digital age” cognitive profiles that appear to be emerging in these two different cultural settings.

These findings contribute a critical, data-driven challenge to the large body of literature on technology and cognition that has been conducted primarily on Western, Educated, Industrialized, Rich, and Democratic (WEIRD) populations. Our results, particularly the significant interaction effects, empirically demonstrate the limitations of generalizing findings from one cultural context to another. The negative association between social media and attention found in the U.S. sample aligns with much of the Western literature, but this study shows that this finding does not hold true in the South Korean context, questioning its presumed universality.

The study provides a powerful application of cultural psychology frameworks, such as the individualism-collectivism dimension, to the modern context of digital technology. The digital activities that were most prevalent and cognitively salient in each culture align remarkably well with their dominant cultural values. The individualistic, self-presentational nature of U.S. social media platforms and the team-based, goal-oriented structure of popular South Korean online games are not coincidental; they are digital manifestations of underlying

cultural orientations. This research connects these cultural patterns directly to divergent cognitive outcomes.

This research also adds a crucial layer of nuance to the literature on cognitive training and "brain games." While many studies have investigated whether targeted training games can improve cognitive skills, our findings suggest that mainstream, commercial entertainment media can have similar, and perhaps even stronger, correlational effects. The positive association between collaborative gaming and problem-solving in the South Korean sample was robust, indicating that certain forms of entertainment, when engaged with intensively, may function as a potent, albeit unintentional, form of cognitive exercise for specific skills.

A significant point of departure from much of the public and academic discourse is the refutation of "screen time" as a useful or meaningful scientific concept. By disaggregating screen time into specific, behaviorally distinct activities, this study demonstrates that *what* an adolescent does on a screen is far more important than for *how long*. The opposing cognitive correlates of social media and gaming, even when total screen time might be similar, provides a strong empirical argument for moving beyond monolithic measures and toward a more nuanced, activity-based approach in future research.

The results signify that there is no single, universal "digital age brain" being forged by technology. Instead, the findings reflect the emergence of multiple, culturally-inflected cognitive adaptation pathways. Technology appears to be acting as a powerful cognitive amplifier, but what it amplifies is dependent on the pre-existing cultural context. In the U.S., it appears to be amplifying habits of rapid attention-shifting, while in South Korea, it is amplifying habits of complex, collaborative problem-solving. The digital age is not creating a single cognitive future, but multiple, divergent ones.

The findings are a powerful reflection of the deep and inextricable link between a culture's tools and its cognitive styles. The digital platforms popular within a society are not neutral; they are cultural artifacts whose very design and affordances encourage certain ways of thinking and interacting. The constant use of these tools then appears to reinforce and strengthen the cognitive skills required to use them effectively. This creates a feedback loop where culture shapes the technology, and the technology, in turn, shapes the culture's cognitive profile.

The distinct cognitive profiles identified in the two samples signify the possibility of a large-scale "cognitive trade-off" driven by culturally-preferred technologies. The U.S. sample's pattern suggests a potential strengthening of skills related to multitasking and information filtering at the possible expense of deep, sustained focus. The South Korean sample's pattern suggests a strengthening of strategic thinking and working memory, with less clear implications for other cognitive domains. This raises profound questions about the long-term cognitive toolkits being developed by young people in different societies.

Ultimately, these results are a signal that the globalization of digital platforms does not necessarily lead to the homogenization of human cognition. The same global technologies can be adopted and used in culturally specific ways, leading to divergent, rather than convergent, outcomes. This is a critical insight for understanding the future of global collaboration, education, and work, as it suggests that different populations may be bringing increasingly specialized, and different, cognitive strengths to the table.

The implications for global education policy are profound and immediate. The findings strongly suggest that a "one-size-fits-all" approach to digital literacy, online safety, or

educational technology integration is destined to be ineffective. Curricula and policies must be culturally sensitive, designed with a deep understanding of the specific digital habits and cognitive profiles of the local student population. A policy that restricts gaming in the U.S. might be addressing a minor issue, while the same policy in South Korea could be inhibiting a primary source of cognitive skill development.

For parents, educators, and public health officials, the implications are a call for more nuanced and specific guidance. Broad, fear-based warnings about the dangers of "screen time" are clearly insufficient and likely inaccurate. The conversation must shift to the quality and nature of digital engagement. The implication is that adults should guide adolescents toward using technologies in ways that foster valuable skills—like collaboration and problem-solving—while encouraging moderation and mindfulness in activities that may have cognitive downsides, such as incessant social media scrolling.

The findings also have clear implications for the technology industry. The research suggests a significant market and ethical opportunity for more culturally-aware platform design. Developers could create versions of their platforms that are tailored to mitigate the potential cognitive downsides identified in this research. For example, social media platforms could design features that encourage more focused, less distracting forms of interaction, while game developers could more intentionally design their products to teach specific, transferable cognitive and social skills.

For the field of cross-cultural psychology, the implications are a call to place the study of technology at the center of future research. The digital environment is now arguably one of the most powerful cultural forces shaping human cognition, alongside traditional factors like language and social structure. This study demonstrates that understanding how culture influences the mind in the 21st century requires a deep and sophisticated understanding of how culture and technology are co-evolving.

The results are best explained by the concept of "cognitive affordances," where the inherent structure of a technology encourages and demands the use of specific cognitive skills. The architecture of popular social media platforms—with their infinite scroll, constant notifications, and rapid succession of disparate content—is designed to repeatedly capture and redirect attention. Intensive use of such a system provides constant training in rapid context-switching, which is antithetical to the skill of sustained, focused attention required by the CPT.

Conversely, the structure of the complex, collaborative online strategy games popular in South Korea affords a completely different set of cognitive demands. To succeed, a player must simultaneously track their own resources, monitor the actions of multiple teammates and opponents, hold a complex map and strategic goals in their working memory, and continuously adapt their plans in response to a dynamic environment. The game itself is a high-speed, high-stakes workout for the very skills of problem-solving and working memory that were measured.

The cultural dimension provides the crucial explanation for *why* these different digital activities are so prevalent in each location. The individualistic, self-promotional, and wide social network-based nature of many U.S. social media platforms aligns well with cultural values that emphasize individual identity, self-expression, and broad, weak-tie social connections. The team-based, interdependent, and goal-oriented structure of popular South Korean online games resonates deeply with cultural values that emphasize group cohesion, collective effort, and achievement within a tightly-knit in-group.

The significant interaction effect is the logical outcome of these factors combining. The underlying cultural values heavily influence the choice of and preference for a particular type of digital engagement. This intensive, culturally-driven engagement with a specific platform then provides thousands of hours of practice in the specific cognitive skills that the platform's design affords. This creates a powerful feedback loop that results in distinct, culturally-moderated cognitive profiles.

The clear and immediate next step for research is to move from this cross-sectional snapshot to a longitudinal design. Following adolescents in both cultures over several years is essential to determine if these observed associations reflect stable, lasting changes in cognitive abilities. A longitudinal approach would also provide stronger evidence for the causal direction of these relationships—for example, does gaming enhance problem-solving, or do individuals with strong problem-solving skills simply choose to game more?

Experimental intervention studies are also a critical next step. Future research could design and implement interventions to test for causality directly. For example, a study could randomize a group of U.S. adolescents to a structured, multi-week "collaborative gaming curriculum" to see if it produces measurable gains in their problem-solving and working memory skills compared to a control group. This would provide the strongest possible evidence for the cognitive training effects of these activities.

Future research should also aim to broaden the cultural scope of this inquiry. This study was limited to two countries. Replicating this comparative methodology with additional, culturally diverse populations—for example, comparing a collectivistic culture in Africa with an individualistic one in Europe—would be invaluable. This would allow for the development of a more comprehensive global map of how technology and culture are interacting to shape the cognitive development of the next generation.

Finally, a crucial avenue for future work involves a deeper, more qualitative investigation into the user experience. Ethnographic studies, where researchers immerse themselves in the digital and social worlds of adolescents in different cultures, would provide rich, contextual data that can explain the "why" behind the quantitative findings. Observing *how* and *for what social purposes* adolescents like Emily and Joon-ho actually use these technologies in their daily lives would add an essential layer of understanding to the statistical results.

CONCLUSION

The most significant and distinct finding of this research is the empirical evidence of a culture-by-activity interaction effect, demonstrating that the cognitive correlates of digital technology use are not universal but are powerfully moderated by cultural context. This study reveals two divergent developmental pathways: a negative association between high social media use and sustained attention among U.S. adolescents, and a positive association between intensive collaborative online gaming and enhanced problem-solving and working memory skills among South Korean adolescents. This core finding challenges the notion of a single "digital age" brain.

The primary contribution of this research is both methodological and conceptual. Methodologically, it establishes a rigorous, comparative cross-cultural framework that disaggregates "screen time" into specific, culturally-salient activities, providing a more nuanced and valid approach than much of the existing literature. Conceptually, it provides a data-driven model for how cultural values shape technology engagement, which in turn appears

to selectively amplify different cognitive skills, thereby challenging the universality of Western-centric findings and enriching cultural psychology with a modern, technological dimension.

This study's conclusions are necessarily framed by its cross-sectional design, which identifies strong associations but cannot establish causality, and its limitation to two cultural contexts. This clearly delineates the path for future research, which must prioritize longitudinal studies to determine the causal direction of these relationships and experimental interventions to confirm the cognitive training effects of specific digital activities. Furthermore, expanding this comparative methodology to include a wider range of diverse cultures is essential for building a truly global and equitable understanding of cognitive development in the digital age.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Look this example below:

Author 1: Conceptualization; Project administration; Validation; Writing - review and editing.
Author 2: Conceptualization; Data curation; In-vestigation.
Author 3: Data curation; Investigation.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest

REFERENCES

Abdullah, H., Mohd Zain, F. A., Juahir, H., Che Haron, H. I., Ismail, A., Islam, T., & Sheikh Ahmad Tajuddin, S. A. F. (2025). Developing Tahfiz empowerment index based on Maqasid Shariah. *International Journal of Islamic and Middle Eastern Finance and Management*. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1108/IMEFM-06-2024-0317

Abubakari, M. S. (2025). Digital technologies adoption in islamic education for fostering lifelong learning culture: Pilot survey preliminary insights. In *Multi-Ind. Digit. And Technol. Gov. In the AI Era* (pp. 103–125). IGI Global; Scopus. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-1681-9.ch005

Alam, A., Fardana, M. R. S., & Bagir, M. A. (2025). Digital Anti-riba Campaign:Netnographic Study from Xbank Indonesia Digital Community. *Journal of Islamic Thought and Civilization*, *15*(1), 224–239. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.32350/jitc.151.13

Alismail, A. M., Almulla, M. O., Elshazly, A. I. A., & Alsubaie, M. M. (2025). Digital awareness and its relationship to achieve motivation among student teachers. *International Journal of Innovative Research and Scientific Studies*, *8*(2), 1833–1845. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.53894/ijirss.v8i2.5553

Azman, N. A., Hamzah, M. I., & Baharudin, H. (2025). Digital Teaching Strategies of Islamic Education Teachers: A Case Study in Primary Schools. *International Journal of Learning, Teaching and Educational Research*, *24*(3), 562–585. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.26803/ijlter.24.3.27

Bhurawala, H. (2025). Developmental and Learning Problems in Schoolchildren: Understanding Impacts on Well-Being in the Context of Islamic Schooling. In *Wellbeing in Islamic Schools: Nurturing the Mind, Body and Soul* (pp. 235–251). Springer Nature; Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-76730-2_12

Darabi, F., Ziapour, A., & Ahmadinia, H. (2025). Digital health literacy and sociodemographic factors among students in western Iran: A cross-sectional study. *BMC Medical Education*, *25*(1). Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-025-06774-y

Ernawati, K., Hayurani, H., Haryanti, S. C., & Utami, S. P. (2025). Development of a home health assessment application and website-based family disease registration. *International Journal of Innovative Research and Scientific Studies*, *8*(3), 4471–4477. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.53894/ijirss.v8i3.7538

Mariyono, D., & Ghony, M. D. (2025). Entrepreneurial basic capital and its contribution to developing multicultural Islamic education. *Journal of Education and Learning*, *19*(2), 684–698. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.11591/edulearn.v19i2.21554

Memon, S. B., Syed, S., & Dahri, A. S. (2025). Embedding Social Responsibility in Islamic Banking: Ethical Foundations and Modern Practices. In *Islamic Finance and Corporate Gov.: Synergies for Sustainable Growth* (pp. 57–74). Emerald Group Publishing Ltd.; Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1108/978-1-83662-346-520251008

Muzayanah, U., Maknun, M. L., Sa'ad, F., & Taruna, M. M. (2025). Digital learning models: Experience of online learning during the pandemic. *International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education*, *14*(2), 1196–1206. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.11591/ijere.v14i2.30032

Nazir, M. A., Roomi, M. A., & Khan, M. R. (2025). Entrepreneurial firms in the crypto era: Adoption trajectories and innovation dynamics. *Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development*, *32*(8), 18–53. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1108/JSBED-11-2024-0580

Pusparini, M. D., Bonang, D., Virgiawan, R., Sukmana, R., Lahuri, S., & Fedro, A. (2025). Does religiosity affect green entrepreneurial intention? Case study in Indonesia. *Journal of Islamic Marketing*, *16*(2), 548–575. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1108/JIMA-12-2023-0419

Putri, A., & Sansuwito, T. B. (2025). Developing Knowledge of Sexual and Reproductive Health among Adolescent Girls Through a Peer Mentoring Approach in Nursing. *Malaysian Journal of Nursing*, *16*(3), 205–214. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.31674/mjn.2025.v16i03.020

Rad, V. S., Fardinpour, Y. K., Behzadi, M. H., & Semnani, A. S. (2025). Drawing a Scientific Map and Analyzing the Co-occurrence Network of Master's Dissertations and Doctoral Theses in Mathematics Education at Iranian Universities. *Scientometrics Research Journal*, *11*(1), 235–260. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.22070/rsci.2024.19206.1738

Restuti, D. P., Kasdi, A., & Cahya, B. T. (2025). Economics issues of halal industry. In *Explor. The Halal Industry and Its Bus. Ecosyst. Prospect.* (pp. 1–22). IGI Global; Scopus. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-8618-7.ch001

Salihu, A., Jahun, I., Oyedeji, D. O., Fajemisin, W., Idogho, O., Shehu, S., & Anyanti, J. (2025). Ensuring equitable access to quality HIV care for affected populations in complex sociocultural settings: Lessons from Nigeria. *PLoS ONE*, *20*(5 May). Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0319807

Sellami, I., Amin, H., Ozturk, O., Zaman, A., Sever, S. D., & Tok, E. (2025). Digital, localised and human-centred design makerspaces: Nurturing skills, values and global citizenship for sustainability. *Discover Education*, *4*(1). Scopus. https://doi.org/10.1007/s44217-025-00413-w

Siregar, H. S., Munir, M., & Fikri, M. (2025). ENHANCING ISLAMIC EDUCATION THROUGH TECHNOLOGY INTEGRATION: A STUDY OF TEACHING PRACTICES IN INDONESIA. *Jurnal Ilmiah Peuradeun*, *13*(2), 959–986. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.26811/peuradeun.v13i2.1875

Sulistiani, D., Bashith, A., & Amin, S. (2025). E-learner success assesment model (E_LSAM) in higher education: Does it work? *Edelweiss Applied Science and Technology*, *9*(1), 189–202. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.55214/25768484.v9i1.4006

Sulistyowati, E., & Adira, H. F. (2025). Development of TPACK Instrument to Measure Teacher Knowledge in Islamic Education for In-Service Teacher Professional Training.

Educational Process: International Journal, *16*. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.22521/edupij.2025.16.193

Wan Mokhtar, W. K. A., Ibrahim, M. N. A., Jubba, H., Qudsy, S. Z., & Embong, A. H. (2025). DEVELOPING MALAYSIA MADANI: THE IMPACT OF GENDER AND STUDENT ORIGIN (URBAN VS. RURAL) ON LEADERSHIP, CITIZENSHIP, AND DEMOCRATIZATION IN MALAYSIAN UNIVERSITIES. *Planning Malaysia*, *23*(2), 520–535. Scopus. https://doi.org/10.21837/pm.v23i36.1736